

# Workshop: Was macht Populismus so erfolgreich?

## 1. Was ist Rechtspopulismus?

- Define: populism; generally hard to define
- All 3 characteristics must be
  - "pro-sovereignity": direct democracy (sovereignity of the people)
  - "anti-pluralism": political ideas of equality, concerning the political elite and the people (homogenous will)
  - Right-wing populism

fulfilled!

- In contrast to right-wing extremists, usually no readiness to use violence
- o Differentiation to top (elites) and the outside
- o elite as enemy image, typically managers, politicians, NGOs, international organisations, media, judiciary department, immigrants, etc. → Identity foundation
- hierarchy of people because of cultural differences (predjudices, racism)
- Autoritarismus: zumeist starke Führungspersönlichkeiten als "Volksversteher"; Appell Gehorsam und Unterordnung authoritarianism: mostly strong management personalities as "understanders of the people"
- o Idea of a homogenous people

## 2. What language do populists use?

- "Us" vs. "Them"
- Adressing emotions: anger, resentment, fear
- Usage of negative Frames
- usage of "Common-Sense" argumentation
- bold language: rhetoric devices, hyperbolics, colloquial language, dialect
  → authentic, not elitist, suggeriert dadurch Volksnähe→ suggested by the
  proximity to people
- stirring up fear (doomsday scenarios)  $\rightarrow$  motivates to act fast
- repetitions
- usage of historically resilient words, e.g. "völkisch" in Germany
- Typical expressions and topics: "Abendland, Lügenpresse, Volk, Volksverräter, Gutmensch", "stolz, Deutscher zu sein", Europakritik, GEZ, Rassismus, Anti-Gender-Mainstreaming, Anti-Political-Correctness, Schutz von Grenzen



#### 3. Why are populists so successful?

Established parties do no longer do justice to modern crises (financial crisis, Euro crisis, globalization, refugee crisis)  $\rightarrow$  (right-wing) populist parties offer alleged easy solutions for complex problems (people desire simple solutions and low complexity)

Adaptability  $\rightarrow$  (they are) covering a broad voter spectrum

#### 4. What danger is right-wing populism to democracy?

- Populisten sind nicht generell gegen die Demokratie, und können sinnvolle demokratische Impulse setzen populists are not generally against democracy and can set meaningful democratic impulses
- Right-wing populism does contain a danger to our form of democracy
  - $\circ$  is directed against institutions protecting human rights (amongst others)
  - $\circ$   $\;$  anti-pluralism: contains dangers to human rights and freedom of opinion  $\;$
  - o criticism of media: danger to free press/ critical reporting → illiberal democracy
  - o demand for direct democracy is not based on the wish for more participation, but the so-called "will of the people" → populists as "understanders of the people" and therefore as "representatives of the people"